

Integer-valued fixed point index for compositions of acyclic maps

E. G. Sklyarenko, G. S. Skordev

Dedicated to the memory of Jean Leray

Abstract

An integer-valued fixed point index for compositions of acyclic multivalued maps is constructed. This integer-valued fixed point index has the properties: additivity, homotopy invariance, normalization, commutativity, multiplicativity. The acyclicity is with respect to the Čech cohomology with integer coefficients. The technique of chain approximation is used.

Keywords: Fixed point index, multivalued maps, acyclic maps

The fixed point index (f. p. i.) for compositions of $\mathbb{F}$ -acyclic multivalued maps of locally compact ANR spaces was constructed in [18, 22, 23, 24, 6, 12]. This f. p. i. has values in the field $\mathbb{F}$ and has the properties: additivity, homotopy invariance, normalization, commutativity, multiplicativity and mod p . The $\mathbb{F}$ -acyclicity of the multivalued maps is with respect to the Čech homology, i.e., the reduced Čech homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$ of the images of all points is equal to zero. The construction used the chain approximation technique.

An integer-valued f. p. i. for $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic multivalued maps of ENR spaces, i.e., finite dimensional ANR spaces, with all properties mentioned above, was constructed in [5], see also [13], p. 547-550. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclicity is with respect to the Čech cohomology. The construction of this integer-valued f. p. i. is based on some homotopic considerations. Both constructions are presented in [12], p. 163-173 and p. 251-276, where all necessary definitions and results used in this note are given, see also [13].

The question was raised about the existence of integer valued f. p. i. with all properties for $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic maps, with respect to Čech (co)homology, of compact ANR spaces, see [5], [12], ch. IV, Section 34, p. 173. The difficulty is that the chain approximation technique, developed in [18, 22, 23, 24] and based on [1, 26], does not work in the case of $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic maps with respect to the Čech homology, see the example in [3]. Moreover, the technique of [5] is based on some results and arguments from geometric topology for which finite dimensionality of the spaces is essential. A particular solution, based on the homotopy method, was proposed in [15].

In this note we shall give a construction of integer-valued f. p. i. with all properties for compositions of $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic maps of compact ANR spaces. The acyclicity is with respect to the Čech cohomology with integer coefficients. The chain approximation technique is applied. For this a relation between Čech cohomology theory with integer coefficients and the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology with integer coefficients and some results about the last homologies are used.

1 Preliminaries

Here we shall define some notions and fix some notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the natural numbers and by $\mathbb{Z}$ the integers.

All topological spaces are assumed to be compact metric spaces.

For a given space X we denote by $Cov(X)$ the set of all its finite open coverings. For $\alpha, \beta \in Cov(X)$ we say that β is a refinement of α , denoted as $\beta > \alpha$, if for every element $U \in \beta$ there is an element $V \in \alpha$ such that $U \subset V$.

Let $\alpha = \{U_0, \dots, U_n\} \in Cov(X)$ and let A be a closed subset of X . By $\alpha \mid A$ we denote the open covering of the set A whose elements are all nonempty sets $U_0 \cap A, \dots, U_n \cap A$.

If A is a subset of X and $\alpha \in Cov(X)$, we denote by $St(A, \alpha)$ the union of all elements of the covering α which meet A .

We shall use the standard definitions for chain complexes, chain maps, chain homotopies, homologies, see e.g. [8, 25]. For compact metric spaces we use Čech cohomology and Čech homology with coefficients in given Abelian groups, [8, 25, 20]. We use also the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology theory with integer coefficients for compact metric spaces, [19, 20].

For a given space X and $\alpha \in Cov(X)$ we denote by $N(\alpha)$ the nerve of the covering α . The vertices of this (abstract) simplicial complex are the elements of the covering α . Furthermore, the $k+1$ vertices $U_0, \dots, U_k$ are vertices of a k -simplex $\sigma^k = [U_0, \dots, U_k]$ in $N(\alpha)$ if and only if $U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k$ is not empty. The support $supp(\sigma^k)$ of the simplex σ^k is the set $U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_k$. Let $c = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i \sigma_i^k \in C_k(N(\alpha); G)$, $g_i \neq 0$, be a chain of the simplicial complex $N(\alpha)$ with coefficients in an Abelian group G . Then the support $supp(c)$ of c is the set $supp(\sigma_1^k) \cup \dots \cup supp(\sigma_l^k)$.

By $N(\alpha)^{(n)}$ we denote the n -th skeleton of the simplicial complex $N(\alpha)$.

Denote by $\pi(\beta, \alpha) : N(\beta) \longrightarrow N(\alpha)$ an induced simplicial map for given coverings $\alpha, \beta \in Cov(X)$ with $\beta > \alpha$. For a given closed subset A in X we denote also by $\pi(\beta, \alpha) : N(\beta \mid A) \longrightarrow N(\alpha \mid A)$ the restriction of the map $\pi(\beta, \alpha)$ on the simplicial complex $N(\beta \mid A)$.

A multivalued map $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a map which assigns to every point $x \in X$ a nonempty compact set $F(x)$ in Y . The graph $\Gamma(F)$ of the map F is the set $\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in F(x)\}$. The map F is called upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if the graph $\Gamma(F)$ is a closed subset in the space $X \times Y$. We have two projections $p : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow X$, defined by $p(x, y) = x$ and $q : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow Y$, defined by $q(x, y) = y$ for $(x, y) \in \Gamma(F)$. Then $F(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$ for $x \in X$. For u.s.c. maps and their general properties see [4, 12].

Let $\tilde{H}$ be a reduced homology or cohomology theory with coefficients in an Abelian group G . A compact space Z is called G -acyclic with respect to (w.r.t.) $\tilde{H}$ if $\tilde{H}(Z; G) = 0$. An u.s.c. map $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ is called G -acyclic w.r.t. to $\tilde{H}$ if the spaces $F(x)$ are G -acyclic w.r.t. $\tilde{H}$ for all $x \in X$.

A single-valued continuous map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is called G -acyclic w.r.t. $\tilde{H}$ if the inverse map $f^{-1} : Y \longrightarrow X$ of f is u.s.c. multivalued G -acyclic w.r.t. $\tilde{H}$.

Let $\Gamma(F)$ be the graph of the G -acyclic w.r.t. $\tilde{H}$ multivalued map F and $p : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow X$, $q : \Gamma \longrightarrow Y$ are the projections defined above. Then the single-valued map $p : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow X$ is G -acyclic w.r.t. $\tilde{H}$. The map $p : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow X$ induces a homomorphism in the (co)homologies $\tilde{H}$. This homomorphism is an isomorphism in the cases:

(a) $\tilde{H}^*$ are the reduced Čech cohomologies with coefficients in an arbitrary Abelian group G , [25], Theorem 15, p. 344.

(b) $\tilde{H}_*$ are the reduced Čech homologies with coefficients in an arbitrary field G , [1], Theorem 2, p. 538.

(c) $\tilde{H}_*$ are the reduced Steenrod-Sitnikov homology with integer coefficients [14], Theorem 3.2., p. 57.

In the cases (b) and (c) we did not state the most general results, for them see [20], Ch. 8, 6.2.

In the case (a) we define the homomorphism $F^* : H^*(Y; G) \longrightarrow H^*(X; G)$ by $F^* = (p^*)^{-1} q^*$, where $p^* : H^*(X; G) \longrightarrow H^*(\Gamma(F); G)$ and $q^* : H^*(Y; G) \longrightarrow H^*(\Gamma(F); G)$ are the homomorphisms induced by the projections p and q , respectively.

Similarly, in the cases (b) and (c) we define $F_* : \tilde{H}_*(Y; G) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X; G)$ by $F_* = q_*(p_*)^{-1}$,

where $p_* : \check{H}_*(\Gamma(F); G) \longrightarrow \check{H}_*(X; G)$ and $q_* : \check{H}^*(\Gamma(F); G) \longrightarrow \check{H}^*(Y; G)$ are the homomorphisms induced by the maps p and q , respectively.

2 Maps of order n with respect to G

Here we shall give, in an appropriate form, some definitions and results of E. Begle, [1].

Definition 1 *Let X be a compact metric space and let G be an Abelian group. Let n be a natural number. The compact X is called (n, G) -compact if for every covering $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$ there is a covering $\mu \in \text{Cov}(X)$ such that $\mu > \alpha$ and the homomorphism*

$$\pi(\mu, \alpha)_k : \check{H}_k(N(\mu); G) \longrightarrow \check{H}_k(N(\alpha); G)$$

is the zero homomorphism for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Remark 1 Every (n, G) -compact space is (n, G) -acyclic with respect to the Čech homology, i.e., the reduced homology $\check{H}_k(X; G) = 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$.

The converse is not true, e.g., the 2-adic solenoid is $(1, \mathbb{Z})$ -acyclic with respect to the Čech homology with integer coefficients, but is not $(1, \mathbb{Z})$ -compact space, see [8], Ch. X, Exercise F. Both properties are equivalent when G is a field.

Definition 2 (cf. [1], Section 3) *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued map of the compact metric space X in the compact metric space Y . Let G be an Abelian group. The map F is called map of order n w.r.t. the group G , written (n, G) -map, if $F(x)$ is (n, G) -compact for each point $x \in X$*

In [1] this definition is given for maps $F = f^{-1}$, where $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ is a single-valued continuous onto map. E. Begle called f Vietoris map of order n . We say that f is a single-valued (n, G) -map.

Recall that E. Begle proved the Vietoris theorem for single-valued onto (n, G) -maps $f : Y \longrightarrow X$. For such maps the induced homomorphism $f_k : \check{H}_k(Y; G) \longrightarrow \check{H}_k(X; G)$ of the reduced Čech homologies is an isomorphism for $0 \leq k \leq n$, [1], Section 3, Theorem 1. This theorem is not true in the case when the map f is $(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -acyclic with respect to the Čech homology, [3].

E. Begle derived the Vietoris theorem from the two lemmas below, in the case of the map $F = f^{-1}$.

Lemma 1 (cf. [1], Section 4, Lemma 2) *If $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ is an u.s.c. multivalued (n, G) -map, then for each covering $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$ and each covering $\beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)$ there is a covering $\nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X)$, with $\nu > \alpha$, and a chain map $T(\nu, \alpha) = \{T(\nu, \beta)_k\}$, $k = 0, \dots, n+1$*

$$T(\nu, \alpha)_k : C_k(N(\nu)^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_k(N(\beta)^{(n+1)}; G)$$

such that

1. *for any k -simplex $\sigma \in N(\nu)$ there is a point $x(\sigma) \in X$ with*
 - (a) $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \text{St}(x(\sigma), \alpha)$,
 - (b) $\text{supp}(T(\nu, \beta)_k(\sigma)) \subset \text{St}(F(x(\sigma)), \beta)$,
2. $fT(\nu, \alpha)_k(\sigma)$ *is chain homotopic to σ on $N(\alpha)$.*

For the next Lemma we use the notations of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 (cf. [1], Section 4, Lemma 3) *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued (n, G) -map. Let α and α_1 be coverings of X with $\alpha_1 > \alpha$. Let β and β_1 be coverings of Y with $\beta_1 > \beta$. Let $\nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\nu_1 = \nu(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$. Let $T(\nu, \beta)$ and $T_1(\nu_1, \beta_1)$ be the chain maps from Lemma 1. Then there is a common refinement γ of the coverings ν and ν_1 such that the chain map $T(\nu, \beta)\pi(\gamma, \nu)$ is chain homotopic with $\pi(\beta_1, \beta)T(\nu_1, \beta_1)\pi(\gamma, \nu_1)$ to a chain homotopy D with the property: for every simplex $\sigma \in N(\gamma)$ there is a point $c(\sigma) \in X$ with:*

1. $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \text{St}(c(\sigma), \alpha)$,
2. $\text{supp}(D(\sigma)) \subset \text{St}(F(c(\sigma)), \beta)$.

We say that the homotopy D is (F, α, β) -small.

Remark 2 1. Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 in [1] are stated for the multivalued map $F = f^{-1}$, i.e., for the inverse of the single-valued map $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ in an equivalent form for Vietoris chains. The more general form given above follows easily from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 in [1].

2. The chain maps $\{T(\nu, \beta), \alpha \in \text{Cov}(X), \beta \in \text{Cov}(Y), \nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X)\}$ induce the homomorphisms $F_k : \check{H}_k(X; G) \longrightarrow \check{H}_k(Y; G)$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

3. The properties 1a), b) of Lemma 1 and 1, 2 of Lemma 2 are not stated in Lemma 2, Lemma 3 in [1], in the case $F = f^{-1}$, but are explicit in the proofs of these lemmas given there.

3 Chain approximations and approximation systems for u.s.c. maps

Chain approximations for u.s.c. multivalued maps were used by L. Vietoris (see [26]), S. Eilenberg and D. Montgomery ([7]), E. Begle ([1, 2]) and B. O'Neil ([16]). The explicit definition is given in [18]. They are developed further in [22, 23, 24, 6], [12], Ch. 4, p. 251-276. In all these papers the authors, except E. Begle in [1], work with G -acyclic maps w.r.t. the Čech homology with coefficients in a field G . The most general case is considered by E. Begle in [1] for Čech homology with coefficients in an Abelian group G for single-valued (n, G) -maps. As mentioned before every (n, G) -map is (n, G) -acyclic but not vice versa.

Here we shall give the definitions of chain approximations and approximation systems for (n, G) -maps. They are the same as the definitions given in [6], [12], Ch. 4, p. 251-276, in the case where G is a field.

The chain approximations and the approximation systems for $(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -maps are defined in the same way and have the same properties as the chain approximations and the approximation systems for $\mathbb{F}$ -acyclic maps for $\mathbb{F}$ a field. Moreover, the proofs of the corresponding properties for (n, G) -maps are the same as for $\mathbb{F}$ -acyclic maps. For this reason we skip these proofs, but give exact references for the corresponding proofs in [12].

Definition 3 (cf. [12], Definition 50.29, p. 255) *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued map and let G be an Abelian group. Let $\alpha, \bar{\alpha} \in \text{Cov}(X)$, $\bar{\alpha} > \alpha$, and let $\beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)$. An augmentation preserving chain map $\varphi : C_*(N(\bar{\alpha})^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_*(N(\beta)^{(n+1)}; G)$ is called (n, α, β) -approximation of the map F provided for each simplex $\sigma \in N(\bar{\alpha})^{(n+1)}$ there is a point $x(\sigma) \in X$ such that*

1. $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \text{St}(x(\sigma), \alpha)$,

2. $\text{supp}(\varphi(\sigma)) \subset \text{St}(F(x(\sigma)), \beta)$.

Remark 3 Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued (n, G) -map. The chain map $T(\nu, \beta)$ from Lemma 1 is an (n, α, β) -approximation of the map F .

Definition 4 Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued map. An (n, G) -approximation system, written (n, G, A) -system, of the map F is a collection of chain maps $\{\varphi(\nu, \beta) : \alpha, \nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X), \beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)\}$, where

- the chain map $\varphi(\nu, \beta) : C_*(N(\nu)^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_*(N(\beta)^{(n+1)}; G)$ is an (n, α, β) -approximation of F .
- Let $\nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X)$ correspond to a given $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$ and $\beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)$. Let $\nu_1 = \nu(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \in \text{Cov}(X)$ correspond to a given $\alpha_1 > \alpha$ and $\beta_1 > \beta$. Then it follows: there exists $\gamma \in \text{Cov}(X)$ with $\gamma > \nu$ and $\gamma > \nu_1$ such that the chain maps $\varphi(\nu, \beta)\pi(\gamma, \nu)$ and $\pi(\beta_1, \beta)\varphi(\nu_1, \beta_1)\pi(\gamma, \beta_1)$ are homotopic with a chain homotopy which is (F, α, β) -small.

Remark 4 1. Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued (n, G) -map. Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 imply that the collection of chain maps $\mathcal{A}(F) = \{T(\nu, \beta) : \alpha \in \text{Cov}(X), \nu = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X), \beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)\}$ is an (n, G, A) -system for the map F . We call $\mathcal{A}(F)$ the induced (n, G, A) -system of the map F .

2. For the definition of an (n, G, A) -system it is not necessary to consider all coverings of the spaces X and Y . It is enough to consider only a fundamental sequence of coverings $\{\alpha_k\} \in \text{Cov}(X)$ and $\{\beta_k\} \in \text{Cov}(Y)$. Then an (n, G, A) -system is a collection of augmentation preserving chain maps $\{\varphi(l, k) = \varphi(\nu_l, \beta_k) : k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which satisfy the conditions of the previous definition with $\nu_l = \nu(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$. In the case where X and Y are finite polyhedra with given triangulations τ, μ , respectively, we take the covering α_k to consist of all open stars of the vertices of the k -th barycentric subdivision τ^k of the triangulation τ w.r.t. τ^k . Similarly for β_k . Compare with [12], Definitions 50.17, 50.18, p. 152.

Now we shall consider a composition of u.s.c. multivalued maps and shall define a composition of (n, G, A) -systems. From [12], p. 259-262 follows:

Lemma 3 (cf. [12], Proposition (50.37), p. 260) Let $F_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}, i = 1, 2$, be u.s.c. multivalued maps. Let $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X_1), \gamma \in \text{Cov}(X_3)$. There exists $\beta \in \text{Cov}(X_2)$ such that if $\varphi(\nu_2, \gamma) : C_*(N(\nu_2)^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_*(N(\gamma)^{(n+1)}; G)$ is an (n, β, γ) -approximation of F_2 for $\nu_2 = \nu(\beta, \gamma) > \beta$, and $\varphi(\nu_1, \nu_2) : C_*(N(\nu_1)^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_*(N(\nu_2)^{(n+1)}; G)$ is an (n, α, ν_2) -approximation of F_1 for $\nu_1 = \nu(\alpha, \nu_2) > \alpha$, then $\varphi(\nu_2, \gamma)\varphi(\nu_1, \nu_2) : C_*(N(\nu_1)^{(n+1)}; G) \longrightarrow C_*(N(\gamma)^{(n+1)}; G)$ is an (n, α, γ) -approximation of the map F_2F_1 .

Lemma 4 (cf. [12], Proposition (50.39), p. 261) Let $F_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}, i = 1, 2$, be u.s.c. multivalued maps. Assume that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\varphi(\nu_1, \beta) : \alpha \in \text{Cov}(X_1), \nu_1 = \nu(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Cov}(X_1), \beta \in \text{Cov}(X_2)\}$ is an (n, G, A) -system of the map F_1 and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\varphi(\nu_2, \gamma) : \beta \in \text{Cov}(X_2), \nu_2 = \nu(\beta, \gamma) \in \text{Cov}(X_2), \gamma \in \text{Cov}(X_3)\}$ is an (n, G, A) -system of the map F_2 . Then $\{\varphi(\nu_2, \gamma)\varphi(\nu_1, \nu_2) : \alpha \in \text{Cov}(X_1), \gamma \in \text{Cov}(X_3)\}$ is an (n, G, A) -system of the map F_2F_1 .

We call this (n, G, A) -system for the map F_2F_1 the composition of the $\mathcal{A}$ -systems $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ and denote it by $\mathcal{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_1$

From this lemmas and Remark 3, 4 follows

Corollary 1 *Let $F_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ be (n, G) -maps. Let $\mathcal{A}(F_i)$ be the induced (n, G, A) -system of the map F_i , defined in Remark 4.1. Then the composition $\mathcal{A}(F_{k-1}) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}(F_1)$ is an (n, G, A) -system of the map $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow X_k$.*

We denote this (n, G, A) -system of $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1$ by $\mathcal{A}(F)$.

Definition 5 (cf. [12], Definition 50.19, p. 252) *Let $F_1, F_2 : X \longrightarrow Y$ be u.s.c. multivalued maps. Let $H : X \times I \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued homotopy joining F_1 and F_2 . Let $\mathcal{A}(F_i)$ be an (n, G, A) -system of F_i , $i = 1, 2$. The approximation systems $\mathcal{A}(F_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(F_2)$ are called H -homotopic if for all sufficiently fine coverings $\alpha \in \text{Cov}(X)$, $\beta \in \text{Cov}(Y)$ and $\varphi_1(\nu_1, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}(F_1)$, $\varphi_2(\nu_2, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}(F_2)$ the chain maps $\varphi_1(\nu_1, \beta)\pi(\gamma, \nu_1)$ and $\varphi_2(\nu_2, \beta)\pi(\gamma, \nu_2)$ are chain homotopic with a chain homotopy D for all $\gamma > \nu_1, \nu_2$. Moreover, we assume that the chain homotopy D satisfies the following condition: for every simplex $\sigma \in N(\gamma)$ there is a point $d(\sigma) \in X$ such that*

- $\text{supp}(\sigma) \subset \text{St}(d(\sigma), \alpha)$,
- $\text{supp}(D\sigma) \subset \text{St}(H(d(\sigma) \times I), \beta)$.

Lemma 5 (cf. [12], Lemma 51.8, p. 265) *Let $F_1, F_2 : X \longrightarrow Y$ be (n, G) -maps. Let $H : X \times I \longrightarrow Y$ be an (n, G) -map, which is a homotopy joining F_1 and F_2 . Let $\mathcal{A}(F_i)$ be the induced (n, G, A) -system of F_i , $i = 1, 2$. Then the approximation systems $\mathcal{A}(F_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(F_2)$ are H -homotopic.*

Definition 6 *Assume that $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1$, and $\Phi = \Phi_{k-1} \dots \Phi_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow X_k$ are compositions of the maps $F_i, \Phi_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Assume that F_i and Φ_i are homotopic with a homotopy $H_i : X_i \times I \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then we say that the maps F and Φ are composition-homotopic with a homotopy $H = H_{k-1}(H_{k-2} \times \text{id}) \dots (H_1 \times \text{id})$, where $\text{id} : I \longrightarrow I$ is the identity.*

Corollary 2 (cf. [12], Proposition 51.9, p. 265) *Assume that $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1, \Phi = \Phi_{k-1} \dots \Phi_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow X_k$ are compositions of the (n, G) -maps $F_i, \Phi_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Assume that F and Φ are composition-homotopic with a homotopy H . Then the induced (n, G, A) -systems $\mathcal{A}(F) = \mathcal{A}(F)_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}(F)_1$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Phi) = \mathcal{A}(\Phi)_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}(\Phi)_1$ are H -homotopic.*

4 Fixed point index of an approximation system

Let (K, τ) be a finite simplicial complex with triangulation τ . By τ^k we denote the k -th barycentric subdivision of the triangulation τ . Let $C_*(\tau^k) = C_*(K, \tau^k; \mathbb{Z})$ be the chain complex with integer coefficients of the triangulation τ^k . By $b(k, l) : C_*(\tau^k) \longrightarrow C_*(\tau^l)$ we denote the barycentric subdivision operation, $l > k$. We consider the fundamental sequence of open coverings $\{\alpha_k\}$ of the space K , where α_k is the covering of K by the open stars of the vertices of τ^k , w.r.t. the triangulation τ^k .

Let U be an open set in K such that its closure $\overline{U}$ is a subcomplex in (K, τ) . By $p(U, k) = \{p(U, k)_i\} : C_*(\tau^k) \longrightarrow C_*(\tau^k | \overline{U})$ we denote the projection homomorphism. Here $\tau^k | \overline{U}$ is the restriction of the triangulation τ^k on $\overline{U}$.

Let $F : \overline{U} \longrightarrow K$ be an u.s.c. multivalued map without fixed points on the boundary ∂U of the set U , i.e., $x \notin F(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$, or the same, $\text{Fix}(F) = \{x : x \in F(x)\} \subset U$. In this case we call the triple (K, F, U) admissible.

Definition 7 We call a quadruple $(K, F, U; \mathcal{A})$ admissible if (K, F, U) is an admissible triple and $\mathcal{A}$ is an $(n, \mathbb{Z}, A)$ -system of the map F , with $n = \dim K$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of all admissible quadruples.

Definition 8 (Fixed point index on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$, cf. [12], Ch. 4, Definition 50.21) The fixed point index $I_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as follows. Let $(K, F, U; \mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi(l, k), \nu_l = \nu(\alpha_k, \alpha_k)\}$ be an $(n, \mathbb{Z}, A)$ -system of the map $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K$, see Remark 4.2. Let ψ be the graded homomorphism $\psi = \{\psi_i\} = p(U, k)\varphi(l, k)b(k, l) : C_*(\tau^k | \overline{U}) \rightarrow C_*(\tau^k | \overline{U})$ and let k be a sufficiently large natural number. Then $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U)$ is defined by the Lefschetz number of the graded homomorphism ψ , i.e., $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U) = \lambda(\psi) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(\psi_i)$, where $\text{tr}(\psi_i)$ is the trace of the homomorphism ψ_i .

Remark 5 The definition of the fixed point index $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U)$ is correct, i.e., it does not depend on the number k . This follows as in Lemma and Definition 1.2, [18].

Lemma 6 The fixed point index $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U)$ has the following properties:

1. Additivity

Let U_1, U_2 be open, disjoint and polyhedral subsets of U and $\text{Fix}(F) = \{x : x \in F(x)\} \subset U_1 \cup U_2$. Then

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U) = I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U_1) + I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U_2).$$

2. Homotopy invariance

Let $H = H_t : \overline{U} \times I \rightarrow K$ be an u.s.c homotopy such that (K, H_t, U) is an admissible triple for all $t \in I$. Let $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1$ be H -homotopic $(n, \mathbb{Z}, A)$ -systems for the maps H_0, H_1 , respectively. Then

$$I_{\mathcal{A}_0}(K, F, U) = I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(K, F, U).$$

3. Commutativity

Let K, L be finite simplicial complexes. Let $W \subset K$ be an open subset and let $F_1 : K \rightarrow L$, $F_2 : L \rightarrow K$ be u.s.c. multivalued maps. Assume that $x \notin F_2 F_1(x)$ for $x \in \partial W$ and $y \notin F_1 F_2(y)$ for $y \in \partial F_2^{-1}(W)$. Assume further that

$$y \in \text{Fix}(F_1 F_2) \setminus \overline{F_2^{-1}(W)} \text{ implies } F_2(y) \cap \text{Fix}(F_2 F_1 | \overline{W}) = \emptyset.$$

Then for all $(n, \mathbb{Z}, A)$ -systems $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ of F_1, F_2 , respectively, follows

$$I_{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_2}(L, F_1 F_2, F_2^{-1}(W)) = I_{\mathcal{A}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}(K, F_2 F_1, W).$$

Here $n \geq \max\{\dim K, \dim L\}$.

4. Normalization

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, K) = \lambda(\psi_*),$$

where $\psi_* : H_*(K; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(K; \mathbb{Z})$ is the homomorphism induced by the chain map $\varphi(l, k)b(k, l)$, where $\varphi(k, l) \in \mathcal{A}$ and k is sufficiently large.

Now we define the fixed point index $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, V)$ for all open sets V and maps $F : \overline{V} \longrightarrow K$ such that $Fix(F) \subset V$. We call such a triple admissible.

Take an open polyhedral set U , such that $\overline{U} \subset V$ and $Fix(F) \cap (V \setminus U) = \emptyset$. Then define $I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, V) = I_{\mathcal{A}}(K, F, U)$.

The proofs of Propositions 1.5, 1.6, [18] imply the Homotopy invariance and the Commutativity property.

The Normalization follows from [11].

Definition 9 Let $\mathcal{K}(n) = \{(K, F, U)\}$ where

- K is a finite simplicial complex, and the triple (K, F, U) is admissible;
- the map $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1$ with $F_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $X_1 = \overline{U}$, $X_k = K$;
- the maps F_i are $(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -maps, $n = \dim K$, see Definition 2.

Definition 10 Let $(K, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}(n)$. Let $\mathcal{A}(F) = \mathcal{A}(F_{k-1}) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{A}(F_1)$ be the induced (n, G, A) -system of the map F . Then $(K, F, U; \mathcal{A}(F)) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$, see Definition 7. The fixed point index $I : \mathcal{K}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as $I(K, F, U) = I_{\mathcal{A}(F)}(K, F, U)$.

From Lemma 6 follows

Corollary 3 The fixed point index $I : \mathcal{K}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has the properties Additivity, Homotopy invariance, Commutativity and Normalization.

Remark 6 For the definition of the fixed point index $I : \mathcal{K}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ a block structure of the simplicial complex could be used. Then one obtains also the Multiplicativity of $I(K, F, U)$, as in [24].

5 $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic and (n, G) -maps

Here we shall describe relations between the $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclicity w.r.t. the Čech cohomology, w.r.t. the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology of compact metric spaces and $(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -compact spaces, see Definition 1.

Lemma 7 A compact metric space is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Čech cohomology if and only if it is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology.

Proof. Assume that the compact metric space is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Čech cohomology. Then the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Ext(H^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Hom(H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

see [20], (14), p. 221, implies that X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology.

For the inverse assertion assume that the compact X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology. The above exact sequence gives that $Ext(H^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $Hom(H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Since X is a compact metric space the groups $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ are countable. Then the theorem of Stein-Serre implies that the groups $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ are free, see [21], Proposition 1.2, Proposition 1.3 and Remark on p. 374. Then $Hom(H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ gives that the space X is $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. the Čech cohomology.

Lemma 8 *Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an u.s.c. multivalued map. Assume that F is G -acyclic w.r.t. the Steenrod-Sitnikov homology with coefficients in a countable Abelian group G . Then F is an (n, G) -map for every natural number n .*

Proof. Consider the representation $F = qp^{-1}$ with the projections $p : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow X$ and $q : \Gamma(F) \longrightarrow Y$. The Proposition 1.5. from [14] gives that $p^{-1}(x)$ are (n, G) -compact spaces for $x \in X$ and every n . Then by Definition 2 the map F is an (n, G) -map for every n .

Remark 7 In the proof of Proposition 1.5. from [14] is used that the Mittag-Leffler property of projective systems $\{A_i\}$ of Abelian groups is equivalent to the vanishing of the first derived functor $\lim^1\{A_i\}$ of the projective system $\{A_i\}$. This is true for countable projective systems of countable Abelian groups, see [21], Proposition 1.2, p. 371. For this reason we assume that the spaces are compact and metric.

6 Main Theorem

Definition 11 *Let X be a compact ANR and let U be an open set in X . The triple (X, F, U) is called acyclic admissible if*

- $F : \overline{U} \longrightarrow X$ has no fixed points on the boundary ∂U of the set U , i.e., $\text{Fix}(F) \subset U$;
- There is a natural number k such that $F = F_{k-1} \dots F_1 : \overline{U} \longrightarrow X$ and $F_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, $X_1 = \overline{U}$, $X_k = X$;
- the maps F_i , $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic w.r.t. Čech cohomology with integer coefficients.

Denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the set of all acyclic admissible triples.

For $(X, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}$ we have a homomorphism $F^* = F_1^* \dots F_{k-1}^* : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(\overline{U}; \mathbb{Z})$, where $F_i^* : H^*(X_{i+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined as follows. Consider the representation $F_i = q_i(p_i)^{-1}$ of the map with the projections $p_i : \Gamma(F_i) \longrightarrow X_i$ and $q_i : \Gamma(F_i) \longrightarrow X_{i+1}$. The Vietoris theorem implies that the homomorphism $p_i^* : H^*(X_i; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(\Gamma(F_i); \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, see [25], Theorem 15, p. 344. Then $F_i^* = (p_i^*)^{-1}q_i^* : H^*(X_{i+1}) \longrightarrow H^*(X_i)$, and $F^* = F_1^* \dots F_{k-1}^* : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^*(\overline{U}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the case $X = U$. Since X is compact ANR then $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated Abelian group. Then the Lefschetz number $\lambda(F^*) = \sum_k (-1)^k \text{tr}(F^k)$ is defined. Here $F^* = \{F^k\}$ and $F^k : H^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^k(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the homomorphism induced by the map F .

Let $(X, F, U), (X, G, U) \in \mathcal{K}$. We call the triples (X, F, U) and (X, G, U) admissible homotopic if the maps F and G are composition-homotopic with a homotopy H such that $x \notin H(x, t)$ for all $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in I = [0, 1]$, see Definition 6.

Theorem 1 *(integer fixed point index on K) There is a function $i : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined on the set of all acyclic admissible triples $\mathcal{K}$ with integer values with the following properties:*

1. Additivity

Let $(X, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}$ and let U_1, U_2 be open subsets of U with $\text{Fix}(F) \subset U_1 \cup U_2$, then

$$i(X, F, U) = i(X, F, U_1) + i(X, F, U_2);$$

2. Homotopy invariance

Let $(X, F, U), (X, G, U) \in \mathcal{K}$ be admissible homotopic triples, then

$$i(X, F, U) = i(X, G, U);$$

3. Commutativity

Let $F : X \longrightarrow Y, G : Y \longrightarrow X$ be compositions of acyclic maps. Let U be an open set in X . Assume that $(X, GF, U), (Y, FG, G^{-1}(U)) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $G(\text{Fix}(FG) \setminus G^{-1}(U)) \cap \text{Fix}(GF \mid U) = \emptyset$, then

$$i(X, GF, U) = i(Y, FG, G^{-1}(U));$$

4. Normalization

$$i(X, F, X) = \lambda(F^*).$$

Proof.

Let $\mathcal{K}'$ be the subset of $\mathcal{K}$ consisting of all acyclic admissible triples $(K, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}$ with K a finite simplicial complex.

Lemma 8 implies that if $(K, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}'$ then $(K, F, U) \in \mathcal{K}(n)$ with $n = \dim K$, see Definition 9.

Consider $I : \mathcal{K}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, see Definition 10. Define $i(K, F, U) = I(K, F, U)$. Lemma 6 implies that the fixed point index $i : \mathcal{K}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has Additivity, Homotopy invariance and Commutativity properties.

The Normalization property in Lemma 6 is stated as follows: $i(K, F, K) = \lambda(F_*)$, where $F_* = (F_{k-1})_* \dots (F_1)_*$ is a homomorphism in the Čech homology with integer coefficients. It follows that $\lambda(F_*) = \lambda(F^*)$, i.e., the Normalization property from Theorem 1 also follows for the index $i : \mathcal{K}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Since the fixed point index $i : \mathcal{K}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has the Commutativity property we can apply the extension procedure from [12], Ch. 4, Section 53. As a result we obtain a fixed point index $i : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with Additivity, Homotopy invariance, Commutativity and Normalization properties.

Remark 8 1. The fixed point index $i(X, F, U)$ coincides with the fixed point index defined in [5] for $\dim X < \infty$ and F a $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic map.

2. Using the technique from [24] one can prove that the fixed point index $i : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has also the Multiplicativity property, i.e., for $(X_1, F_1, U_1), (X_2, F_2, U_2) \in \mathcal{K}$ follows $(X_1 \times X_2, F_1 \times F_2, U_1 \times U_2) \in \mathcal{K}$ and

$$i(X_1 \times X_2, F_1 \times F_2, U_1 \times U_2) = i(X_1, F_1, U_1)i(X_2, F_2, U_2)$$

3. With an adaptation of the technique of [9, 10] one can obtain an integer fixed point index for compositions of multivalued, $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic, weighted maps with an integer multiplicity function. For these maps see [17].

Acknowledgments: The paper was written during the visit of the first author in Bremen. The authors thank Heinz-Otto Peitgen for his support and Jean-Paul Allouche for many valuable remarks. Many thanks also to Lech Gorniewicz, Wojciech Kryszewski, Jacobo Pejsachowicz and Robert Skiba for the generous support with information.

References

- [1] E. G. Begle, The Vietoris mapping theorem for bicomact spaces, *Ann. Math.*, **51** 1950, 534-543.
- [2] E. Begle, A fixed point theorem, *Ann. Math.*, **51** 1950, 544-550.
- [3] E. G. Begle, The Vietoris mapping theorem for bicomact spaces II, *Mich. Math. J.*, **3** 1955-1956, 179-180.
- [4] C. Berge, *Espaces Topologiques. Fonction Multivoques*, Dunod, Paris, 1959.
- [5] R. Bielawski, The fixed point index for acyclic maps of ENR's, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci., Math.*, **35** 1987, 487-499.
- [6] Z. Dzedzej, *Fixed Point Index Theory for a Class of Nonacyclic Multivalued Maps*, *Dissert. Math.*, **253**, PWN, Warszawa, 1985.
- [7] S. Eilenberg, D. Montgomery, Fixed points for multivalued transformations, *Amer. J. Math.*, **69** 1946, 214-222.
- [8] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod, *Foundation of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1952.
- [9] F. v. Haeseler, G. Skordev, Borsuk-Ulam theorem, fixed point index and chain approximations for maps with multiplicity, *Pacif. J. Math.*, **153** 1992, 369-396.
- [10] F. v. Haeseler, H.-O. Peitgen, G. Skordev, Lefschetz fixed point theorem for acyclic maps with multiplicity, *Topol. Meth. in Nonlin. Analysis*, **19** 2002, 339-374.
- [11] H. Hopf, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Euler-Poincaréschen Formel, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen*, 1928, 127-136, see also H. Hopf, *Collected Papers*, Springer, 2001, Berlin, 183-192.
- [12] L. Górniewicz, *Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [13] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [14] Ya. Khintishev, Vietoris type theorem for exact homology, *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Méc.*, **71** 1976/77, 55-82 (in Russian).
- [15] W. Kryszewski, The fixed point index for the class of compositions of acyclic set-maps on ANR's, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **120** 1996, 129-151.
- [16] B. O'Neil, Induced homology homomorphism for set valued maps, *Pacif. J. Math.*, **7** 1957, 1179-1184.
- [17] J. Pejsachowicz, R. Skiba, Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Weighted Maps, in *Handbook of Topological Fixed Point Theory*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.
- [18] H. W. Siegberg, G. Skordev, Fixed point index and chain approximations, *Pacif. J. Math.*, **102** 1982, 455-486.
- [19] E. G. Sklyarenko, Homology theory and the exactness axiom, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **24** 1969, 87-140.

- [20] E. G. Sklyarenko, Homology and Cohomology Theories of General Spaces, in Encyclopedia of Mathematical Science (Ed. A. V. Arhangel'skii), **50**, Springer, Berlin, 1996, pp. 119-256.
- [21] E. G. Sklyarenko, Some applications of the $\lim^1$ -functor, Mat. Sb., **123** 1984, 369-390.
- [22] G. Skordev, Fixed point index for open sets in Euclidean spaces, Fund. Math., **121** 1984, 41-58.
- [23] G. Skordev, Homological Properties and Fixed Point Index of Continuous Maps, Diss. Univ. Sofia, Bulgaria, 1982 (in Bulgarian).
- [24] G. Skordev, The multiplicity property of the fixed point index for multivalued maps, Serdica Bulgaricae Math. Publ., **15** 1989, No 2, 160-170.
- [25] E. H. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, Springer, New York, 1966.
- [26] L. Vietoris, Über den höheren Zusammenhang kompakter Räume, Math. Ann., **97** 1927, 454-472.

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Moscow State University
Leninskie Gory
119992 Moscow
Russia
egskl@higeom.math.msu.su

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Bremen
Universitaetsallee 29
28359 Bremen
Germany
skordev@cevis.uni-bremen.de